# DEVELOPMENT OF THE C-BAND (5712 MHz) 50 MW CLASS PPM KLYSTRON (II)

H. Matsumoto<sup>1, A)</sup>, T. Shintake<sup>A)</sup>, Y. Ohkubo<sup>B)</sup>, H. Taoka<sup>B)</sup>, K. Ohhashi<sup>C)</sup> and K. Kakuno<sup>C)</sup> <sup>A)</sup> KEK, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801 Japan <sup>B)</sup> Toshiba Co., Ohtawara, Tochigi, 324-8550 Japan <sup>C)</sup> Shin-Etsu Chemical Co., Ltd, Takefu, Fukui, 915-8515 Japan

#### Abstract

Hot isostatic pressing (HIP) technique has been firstly applied to fabricate a magnetic circuit in a PPM klystron. Simply stacking disks of the magnetic stainless-steel (Mag-SUS) and oxygen-free-copper (OFC) alternatively, and processing in a HIP vessel filled with pressurised Argas at 1200-kgf/cm<sup>2</sup> and temperature of 800-°C for 2 hours, they were bonded in one block with diffusion bonding. No brazing-alloys were used in this process. After machining the rf-cavities and beam drift-tube on the bonded PPM stack, they were assembled together in one body by means of conventional brazing method.

The C-band PPM klystron based on this technique was fabricated in the course of the Linear Collider R&D. Output power of 37 MW was generated with 2.5-µsec pulse width and 50 pps repetition rate.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

The Phase-I (500-GeV C.M. energy) JLC project requires 3500 C-band klystrons of 50-MW output power level [2], [3]. We started R&D on C-band RF system development in 1996, since then we have developed three 50-MW class klystrons in three years (1996-1998). All of them successfully generated rf output power of 50-MW or higher. After long-run life-test (>5000 hours each), we confirmed the developed klystrons were acceptable for the JLC-I project [3].

All of them used the electrical solenoid magnet for beam focusing, which dissipates electrical power of 5 kW. This power is quite small as compared with total system power consumption. However, by replacing the focusing solenoid with a permanent magnet, we can eliminate the solenoid magnet itself, its DC power supply, the water cooling system and its interlock, thus the system becomes quite simple.

The PPM-focusing scheme was firstly applied to a high-power klystron by D. Sprehn [4] in 1996 at SLAC in the course of R&D for the X-band NLC. The PPM X-band klystron demonstrated excellent performance at an output power level over 50-MW and a beam-to-rf power-efficiency as high as 60%. In spite of this promising result, industrialization of this type of the tube seems to be not easy, because (1) we have to integrate the relatively complicated magnetic circuits into the vacuum tube; at least 20 pole-pieces are required in one tube and (2) the pole-pieces are usually made of iron which is troublesome in the brazing process due to difference in thermal expan-

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: hiroshi.matsumoto@kek.jp



sion coefficient between iron and copper, and also the iron tends to be rusty.

We have solved these problems by employing the hot isostatic pressing (HIP) process. Figure 1 shows the first C-band PPM klystron fabricated using HIP. In this paper, we describe the basic design of the C-band PPM klystron and detail fabrication technique for the magnetic circuit.

Fig. 1: Cut away view of the first C-band (5712-MHz) 50 MWclass PPM type klystrons (TO-SHIBA-E3747).

# 2 PPM KLYSTRON DESIGN

In order to minimize

the required R&D items, we decided to upgrade the existing design of our C-band klystron (TOSHIBA E3746 No.3-tube, solenoid focus). We kept the same design in the electron gun, the output cavity (travelling-wave structure) and the beam collector. Only the drift-tube part was renewed in order to implement the PPM focusing scheme. Table 1: Main target parameters

or of the second s		
Frequency	5712	MHz
Output rf power	50	MW
RF pulse width	2.5	µsec
Beam voltage	350	KV
Beam current	317	А
RF power efficiency	48	%
Perveance $(10^{-6})$	1.53	A/V <sup>1.5</sup>
Drift tube radius (up/down stream)	7.5/9.0	mm
Beam radius (up stream)	5.0	mm
Brillouin field (relativistic)	1.9	kG
Peak field (on axis, upstream)	2.0	kG
Plasma wavelength ( $\lambda$ )	82.3	mm
PPM pitch ( $\lambda$ )	30	λ.PPM
Cutoff voltage ( $\alpha$ =66)	23	kV
Permanent Magnet 1)	Neodymium	N40A
Residual Induction: Br	1.2	Tesla
Coercive force: Hc	11	k-Oe
Pole-piece	Mag-SUS	
	Fe+14%Cr+C	(20-nnm)

## 2.1 Electrical Design

We use the FCI-code [5] to find the optimum magneticfield profile. FCI simulates the beam dynamics in the drift-tube based the PIC-method, which takes into account

the space-charge field, interaction with RF-cavities, and the external focusing PPM-field. The target electrical parameters are listed in Table 1.

# 2.2 Design of PPM Focusing System

We chose "Mag-SUS" for the pole-piece material instead of pure iron. The principal chemical composition is Fe+14%Cr, which eliminates the rust problem. The carbon content is controlled below 20-ppm; this is important in preserving the ferrite-phase after the heat-cycles during the HIP and conventional brazing processes.



Right: assembled PPM magnets comprises of 24 modules.

Figure 2: PPM magnet mounted on the main body of the klystron.

We chose the neodymium magnet (Nd2Fe14B) as the permanent magnet, because of its excellent magnetic performance. Half-ring shape neodymium magnet is sandwiched between two iron pole-pieces and moulded to aluminium guard ring as shown in Fig. 2. After assembling those parts in one module using "Epoxy-Bond", the magnet was magnetized.

Fig. 3 shows the simulated magnetic-field patterns. Since the Mag-SUS disks are faced in parallel with relatively wide area, a large amount of the magnetic field flux is bypassed between them. In this design, while the field utilization efficiency is low, it provides a fairly stable magnetic field inside the drift-tube. Additionally, the thick body serves as a radiation shield for the neodymium magnet, and provides space for the cooling water



Figure 3: Cutaway view of the PPM structure and the magneticfield pattern.

channel and enough mechanical rigidity to support the electron gun parts.

Before assembling the electron gun and beam collector, all of the permanent magnet elements were mounted on the klystron body as seen in Fig 2, and the magnetic field was measured. Fig. 4 shows the measured field profile along the beam axis. The large positive swing around z=550 mm corresponds to the output circuit of the threecell travelling wave structure. The measured data is within tolerance of the expected profile.



Figure 4: Measured field profile along the axis at the center.

## **3 FABRICATION OF PPM CIRCUIT**

#### 3.1 Diffusion Bonding

In order to carry the magnetic flux from the permanent magnet to the drift tube, the periodic magnetic-circuit is required. We employ the integrated magnetic circuit design, i.e., stack of copper and Mag-SUS disk provides both the magnetic circuit and the vacuum envelop of the klystron, at the same time. To make the stack, we employed the HIP process [6] as follows:

(1) Prepare disks made of "Mag-SUS" and OFC copper with purity better than 99.99%.

(2) Stack them alternatively, without brazing alloy.

(3) Put them in a vacuum capsule made of OFC copper as shown in Fig. 5, and seal up both end-caps by the electron-beam welder (EBW) in vacuum.



Figure 5: Machined parts pf PPM stack before assembly. They are stacked together and loaded into the vacuum capsule.

(4) Process in HIP at high pressure of  $1200 \text{-kgf/cm}^2$  and high temperature of 800-°C for 2 hours in Ar-gas. Fig. 6 shows a schematic layout of HIP process. (5) Remove the capsule part from the bonded block on the turning lathe. Drill the beam hole on the axis, and four water channels around. Machine the rf-cavity and the waveguide port.



Figure 6: HIP diffusion bonding process.

metal-to-metal bonding, even if the pair of materials has different physical properties [6]. In the present case, the thermal expansion coefficients are quite different in two (Mag-SUS is  $1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ , and the copper is  $1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ ). Even through, the bonding process was performed very well with HIP. We believe that the external force applied



Figure 7: The diffusion bonded PPM stack. The input rf-cavity, a coupling iris, the beam hole and four water cooling channels were machined after the HIP bonding.

### **4 TEST RESULTS**

Fig. 8 shows waveforms of the rf output power, the beam voltage and input drive power. The first C-band PPM klystron generated 37-MW output power at the beam voltage of 350-kV, pulse width of 2.5-µsec and repetition rate of 50 pps.

The output power was measured by the absolute calorimetric method, which comprises of the rf-water-load, an electrical-hater module and four precise temperature sensors. The output power was determined from the temperature rise at the water loads. This power measurement system is calibrated by temperature rise at the electrical-



Figure 8: Output rf power, beam voltage and drive rf power waveforms.

The resulting PPM stack is shown in Fig. 7. (6) Braze the PPM stacks together with brazing wire at each rf-cavity location.

A big technical advantage in using HIP is that one can obtain fairly good

with the Ar-gas holds the materials stably during the HIP process, and suppresses deformation or slip associated with the difference in thermal expansions (possibly plastic-flow in copper also helps this process). Α schematic view of the HIP process is shown in Fig. 6.

heater, whose dissi-

pation power can be

simply determined

by the product of

the terminal voltage

overall accuracy is

better than  $\pm 1\%$ .

Fig. 9 shows the

measured output rf

power as a function

of the beam voltage.

An

and current.

## **5 DISCUSSIONS**

In the first C-band klystron, the high-power beam has been successfully transported through PPM focusing system, where measured beam-loss was lower than 1%. Therefore, we conclude the designed magnetic circuit and the permanent magnet generated correct PPM field as we expected. It was also demonstrated the HIP process is suitable to fabricate the magnetic circuit in high power klystrons.

A parasitic oscillation was found when the gun-voltage exceeded 320-kV. Frequency of the parasitic oscillation is around 5726-MHz, which is within the gain-bandwidth. We believe the cause of the oscillation is the back streaming electrons from the beam collector, which supports the positive feedback of rf-signal from the output cavity to the input cavity. This type of parasitic oscillation is some-

times found in conventional solenoid focused klystrons [7]. We are studying the oscillation mechanism with PIC code, and ray tracing to find out optimum shape of the magnetic field profile around the collector. beam The second Cband PPM kly-

stron is now under design.



Figure 9: Saturated rf output power characteristics.

#### **6 REFFERENCE**

- Y. Ohkubo et. al., "The C-band 50 MW Klystron Using Traveling-Wave Output Structure", LINAC98, Aug. 1998, Chicago, IL, USA, KEK Preprint 98-140.
- [2] T. Shintake, "C-band Accelerator Development for Linear Collider and Industrial Applications" (invited talk), 23th Linear Accelerator Meeting in Japan, Sep. 1998, Sapporo, JAPAN, KEK preprint 98-146.
- [3] H. Matsumoto, T. Shintake et. al., "R&D of The C-band RF-system Development for e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Linear Collider in Japan", 12th Accelerator Science and Technology, Oct. 1999, RIKEN, JAPAN, KEK preprint 99-83.
- [4] D. Sprehn, G. Caryotakis, K. Eppley, R.M. Phillips, "PPM Focused X-Band Klystron Development at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center", (SLAC). SLAC-PUB-7231, Jul 1996. 9pp. 3rd International Workshop on RF Pulsed Power Sources for Linear Colliders (RF 96), Hayama, Japan, 8-12 Apr 1996.
- [5] T. Shintake, "Klystron simulation and design the Field Charge Interaction (FCI) cord", Nuclear Instrument and Methods in Physics Research, A 363 (1995) 83-89".
- [6] H. Matsumoto et. al., "Applications of the Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIP) for High Gradient Accelerator Structure", PAC'99, May 1991, SF, USA, KEK preprint 91-47.
- [7] Z. Fang et al., "Simulation of returning electrons from a klystron collector", Proc. 20<sup>th</sup> Int. Linac Conference (LINAC200), Monterey, CA, USA, Aug. 2000.